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The Ontario Secondary School Teachers’ Federation (OSSTF/FEESO) represents 60,000 educational workers across Ontario including public high school teachers, occasional teachers, educational assistants, continuing education teachers and instructors, psychologists, secretaries, speech language pathologists, social workers, plant support personnel, attendance counsellors and many others in education at the elementary, secondary and university levels. Most of our members participate in the Ontario Teachers’ Pension Plan (OTPP) or the Ontario Municipal Employees Retirement System (OMERS) pension plans, but some of our members belong to smaller, private university pension plans.

OSSTF/FEESO understands the impact that the economy has had on government revenues and also understands that government decisions, like corporate tax cuts, have contributed to the revenue shortfall. However, OSSTF/FEESO must state its absolute opposition to the imposed cuts made on collective agreements in the elementary and secondary sector. The government must look for opportunities in upcoming provincial budgets to offer fair outcomes to those arbitrary cuts. It is clear and undisputed that finances will be limited for the foreseeable future. The competition for the limited funding will be great. Again, however, it is also clear and undisputed that funding applied to education should be considered investment dollars. These investment dollars provide significant returns for Ontario’s economy, workforce, cultural and social structures.

Student Distribution and School Configuration

Declining enrolment is now more evident in the secondary panel and the accommodation pressures of the expanded early learning plan are leaving school boards with little choice but to look at progressive ways to provide students the appropriate learning environment in a cash-strapped funding scenario. Student distribution and school configuration must be considered regardless of political, religious and union demands in order to provide a sound education for all students. Having access to all schools in a geographical area and the freedom to create configurations that respond to enrolment and demographic shifts is essential to reach maximum economy during a time of enrolment decline. A school of JK to Grade 8 students may be the best fit in one area of a school board, and a Grade 7 to Grade 12 school may serve the school board in another area. The influx of younger students into the early years of formal education puts pressure on facilities when enrolment declines in the intermediate years.
The current curriculum increasingly requires specialized equipment and teachers with specialized
education and training, consequently making the Grades 7-12 schools attractive. The current
practice of bussing a student past one half empty school to attend another half empty school must
end. Rising heat and hydro costs require school boards to balance enrolment in schools before
spending capital dollars on expanding existing space.

An unfortunate by-product of enrolment decline is school closure. Most school boards will have to
make difficult decisions on school closure due to enrolment decline or population shifts within their
boundaries. The government must revisit the process for review of school accommodation to ensure
that appropriate schools remain open and the schools that are open are viable. School boards should
not be financially penalizing the viable schools by propping up schools that should be closed.

**School Board/Municipal Partnerships**

For the foreseeable future, the school is and will continue to be the hub of the community. The
school is considered the safe, comfortable centre of activity in a small community, or in a
neighbourhood of a larger urban centre. In many cases, the economic viability of the area is directly
reliant on the existence of the school. The school is often the most appropriate location for facilities
that the greater community depends upon. To that end, the community and/or municipality should
expand their financial participation in the school. This reliance on the school is magnified in the
northern and rural communities of Ontario. The school is the anchor of the municipality and
without it, traffic to the core of the community is dramatically reduced.

Many municipalities are in position to underwrite construction, maintenance or service costs of
educational facilities. Forgivable loans or partnership agreements with a municipality to provide
everything from meeting rooms to sports fields can ensure that there is less duplication of facilities as
well as a maximum utilization of existing assets. This broad use of facilities can expand well beyond
direct community use.

Other government ministries and agencies can tap into the school as a central location and a
convenient service point for members of the broader community. It should be noted that with
expanded use of the facilities, safety of the students and staff, along with maintenance and upkeep
must be factored into the equation. In addition, work space for school board employees must be
guaranteed. Information gathered by the Ministry of Education could be the basis for services
provided to school-age children and their families.
Funding For Special Education Programs

Special Education funding for the implementation and maintenance of special education programs in the school called the Special Education Per-Pupil Amount (SEPPA) must be increased to account for the integration and support of high needs students in the school, including specialized classrooms and workspaces. Currently, the SEPPA is enrolment based. Often the level of care needed to provide for special education programs does not correlate directly with enrolment.

A base level of funding must be provided that is protected from the impact of declining enrolment. Special education spending for individual high needs students should be simply based on the Individual Education Plan (IEP) for the student and a requirement that those services be available to the student. Funding for preventative programs and short term intervention for students at risk without an IEP must be part of any special education funding model. Support services (i.e. educational assistants) and Professional Student Services Personnel (i.e. psychologists, social workers, speech and language pathologists, child and youth workers, etc.) must be funded for the actual costs of salaries, benefits and professional development. Funding and services provided by the government ministries and agencies must be routed through the school board.

Fully Funding High School Credits

Graduating students face increasing competition to be accepted into their desired programs in post-secondary institutions. Marks and other base criteria for acceptance have become increasingly stringent. In addition, with the introduction of programs such as high skills majors and the evolution of more comprehensive cooperative education programs, students are achieving their credits in bunches. Limiting funding to 34 credits per student unduly creates an underfunding at the school level. This practice does not mesh with the government’s student achievement agenda, which includes listing students who graduate with credits beyond the 34 limit for full funding. All credits acquired by students in their journey to graduation should be fully funded.

Adult Education

Ontario Learns: Strengthening our Adult Education System, a report produced by Kathleen Wynne, then the Minister of Education, indicates that Ontario is committed to higher educational achievement for Ontarians, as well as lower unemployment, faster integration of new Canadians into the economy, and facilitating more children arriving at school ready to learn. A strong adult education system can help Ontario achieve these economic and social goals. Currently, Ontario suffers from significant job losses in the manufacturing sector. Many of these employees would benefit from a combination of retraining and education that would open doors to versatile employment opportunities. The federal budget is expected to key on promotion of training in skill based employment and to provide for educational opportunities for workers to attain the skills necessary to fill jobs that are currently unfilled and forecast for future growth.
Adding adults to partially filled buildings is a cost effective way to provide an important service and expedites their return to the active workforce. OSSTF/FEESO believes that this government can fulfill this commitment, support Literacy and Numeracy and Learning to Age 18 initiatives and revitalize adult education programs in Ontario by implementing a mixed model, where at risk students who are under 21 years of age are placed in mixed model programs with adults 21 and over. The job-focused and skills-focused approaches of Learning to Age 18 initiatives such as the new High Skills Majors are ideally suited to adult education. A mixed model enriches programs for adult students, and youth in alternative programs benefit from job-focused adult curriculum. The current funding levels for adult education are a fraction of what is provided for students under 21 years old. The GSN grant allocation is based mainly on enrolment and therefore programs need a critical mass of funding to be able to run. With adequate funding levels for adults in a program, more diverse educational opportunities can be developed especially in more remote or outlying areas where the need is great.

**University Funding**

More enrolment-based funding for infrastructure and support staff is required in the university sector. As government initiatives attract more students into the university system, the result is the necessity of more capital expenditures to support research and enrolment. Currently, more students have not equaled more funding and as a result, the services have not been able to be maintained. Universities are not adding support staff at a rate anywhere near their enrolment growth. This directly impacts students.

Governments must provide the funding, as well as direct universities to use that funding to ensure the infrastructure at the university is able to continue to support the increased numbers of students attending their programs. A more transparent accounting of university revenue and expenditure is required.

**Funding of Ministry of Education Initiatives**

During the past several years, the Ministry has initiated numerous capacity building initiatives that, for optimum results, require continuing reinforcement for teachers and support staff. These include the introduction of Board and School Improvement Plans, Growing Success, the School Effectiveness Framework and Learning for All K-12. In addition, in order to support various Ministry Student Success program initiatives, funding and appropriate training must be provided. Areas include differentiated instruction (DI), credit recovery, cross panel teams, Specialist High
Skills Majors, anti-bullying, equity and aboriginal education. This funding must be imbedded in the baseline funding to schools so support for ongoing programs can be assured.

**Professional Development Funding**

As part of the imposed working conditions legislated in January 2013, three professional development days were removed from the 2013-2014 school calendar. This type of professional development is essential for ongoing growth of skills and instructional techniques for both teachers and support staff in the elementary and secondary sector. The PD day is an opportunity for groups to meet and exchange valuable information on successful strategies for student success. In the Grants for Student Needs that are developed as part of the 2013 Ontario Budget, funding must be reintroduced to provide for professional development.

**Strengthening School Operations**

As part of the 2008-2012 Provincial Discussion Table Agreement, a working group was assembled consisting of representatives from the government, school boards and educational unions to investigate best practices and give advice to the Ministry of Education on school operations. A 33 page report from this workgroup was released in February 2012 and included information on funding of school operations, cleaning and maintenance standards in Ontario schools and preventative maintenance analysis. The report made a number of important recommendations that must be considered in future school operations funding decisions.